

# The Hong Kong Daily Press.

No. 4915 號五百九十四第 日二十二月六閏年西癸未同

HONGKONG, THURSDAY, 14TH AUGUST, 1873.

四拜 暈四月八英 港香港

PRICE \$1 PER MONTH.

## Arrivals.

## Banks.

HONGKONG & SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.  
PAID-UP CAPITAL.....\$1,000,000 of Dollars.  
RESERVE FUND.....\$1,000,000 of Dollars.

Court of Directors.—  
Chairman—S. D. SASSON, Esq.  
Deputy Chairman—W. H. FORDON, Esq.  
Ad. M. Armstrong, Esq.  
A. F. Hard, Esq.  
A. J. Head, Esq.

Chief Manager—James GRIFFIN, Esq.  
Shanghai—Ewan Cameron, Esq.  
London Bankers—London and County Bank.

HONGKONG.  
INTEREST ALLOWED.  
On Current deposit accounts at the rate of 1 per cent. payable on the daily balance.  
On Fixed Deposits—  
For 3 months 2 per cent. per annum.  
6 " 4 "  
12 " 5 "

## Local Bills Discounted.

Credits—granted on approved securities, and every description of Banking and Exchange business transacted.

Drafts—on London, and the chief commercial places of Europe, India, Australia, America, China and Japan.

JAMES GRIFFIN, Chief Manager.  
Office of the Corporation—  
No. 1, Queen's Road East.

10,000. 1st April, 1873.

## COMPAGNIE D'ESCOMpte DE PARIS.

INCORPORATED by National Decree of 1st and 8th March, 1848, and by Imperial Decree of 25th July, 1854, and 31st December, 1868.

RECOGNISED BY THE INTERNATIONAL CONVENTION OF 30TH JUNE, 1863.

France—2 Stories.  
PAID-UP CAPITAL.....\$80,000,000 3,200,000  
RESERVE FUND.....\$20,000,000 800,000

HEAD OFFICE—14, Rue Berger, Paris.  
LONDON AGENCY—144, Lendeanhall Street, E.C.

AGENCIES—At Nantes, Lyons, Marseilles, Roubaix, Brussels, Alexandria, Bombay, Calcutta, Hongkong, Shantou, Siam, Saint Denis (Ile de la Reunion) and Yokohama.

LONDON BANKERS—UNION BANK OF LONDON.

HONGKONG AGENCY.

Interest Allowed.

On current deposit accounts at the rate of 2 1/2 per annum on the monthly minimum balances; 2 1/2 subject to 15 days notice of withdrawal; and 3 1/2 subject to one month's notice.

On Fixed Deposits—  
For 3 months 3 per annum.

12 " 4 "  
" 5 "

A. PHILIPPE,  
Acting Manager.  
Offices in Hongkong—Bank Buildings,  
Queen's Road, 878. Hongkong, 2nd April, 1873.

## HONGKONG HOTEL COMPANY, (LIMITED).

NOTICE.

SHAREHOLDERS of the HONGKONG HOTEL COMPANY, LIMITED, are requested to apply to the Undersigned for Warrants for the DIVIDENDS due to them, on and after SATURDAY, the 2nd August.

By order, ED. BAKER, Secretary.

333 1223 Hongkong, 29th July, 1873.

## HONGKONG, CANTON, AND MACAO STEAMBOAT COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTICE TO SHAREHOLDERS

THE DIVIDEND at the rate of 16 per cent. per annum or \$6 per share, declared at the Ordinary Half-yearly Meeting of Shareholders held this day, will be payable at the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION on and after SATURDAY next, the 19th instant. Shareholders are requested to apply at the office of the Company for Warrants.

By order of the Board of Directors, AUGUSTINE HEARD & Co., General Agents, ff 1161 Hongkong, 16th July, 1873.

## VICTORIA FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY OF HONGKONG, LIMITED.

ADJUSTMENT OF BONUS FOR THE YEAR 1872.

SHAREHOLDERS in the above Company are requested to furnish the Undersigned with a list of their Contributions for the year ended 31st December, 1872, in order that the distribution of Twenty per cent. (20%) of the Net Profits reserved for Contributors, may be arranged. Returns not rendered prior to the 31st October next, will be adjusted by the Company, and no claims or alterations subsequently admitted.

By order of the Board of Directors, AUGUSTINE HEARD & Co., General Agents, ff 1021 Hongkong, 26th June, 1873.

## HONGKONG HOTEL COMPANY, LIMITED.

NOTIFICATION.

ENTERS OF LAND on the British Consession, Shantou, are requested to pay into H. M. Consulate the rent due by them for the year ending 4th September, 1873; and it is hereby notified, that any lots upon which the ground rent is not paid by the 4th September next, will, under the conditions of Lease, revert to Her Majesty's Government.

CHAS. T. GARDNER,  
Acting Vice-Consul.

H. M. Consulate, 224 1318 Canton, 20th July, 1873.

## FOR ORCHIDEE LAWNS.

PATENT HORN MOWING AND ROLLING MACHINES.

LANE, CRAWFORD & Co., 1212 Hongkong, 29th July, 1873.

## FOR SALE.

SUPERIOR CARDIFF COALS, in lots to suit purchasers, delivered on Godowns or on board. Apply to PAUL EHRLERS & Co.

1186 Hongkong, 23rd July, 1873.

## NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the business of the undersigned in Hongkong is about being closed. All parties indebted to the firm are requested to make immediate payment, and all claims against the firm are requested to be sent in before the 31st day of August next, after which no claim will be allowed.

Dated Hongkong, this 1st day of July, 1873.

EDDLEJEE PRAMJEE, SONS & Co.

JOHN SKINNER, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

SOLE Agent on the Pacific Coast for: Winchester Repeating Arms and Ammunition, DuPont's Blasting and Sporting Powder, Lake Superior and Pacific Fuse Company's Safety Fuses.

[By 721 May 8]

## A F O N G .

P H O T O G R A P H E R ,

BY APPOINTMENT TO H. E. SIR ARTHUR KENNEDY, Governor of Hongkong;

AND TO H. H. THE GRAND DUKE ALEXIS OF RUSSIA.

Invites inspection of his large Collection of VIEWS of FOCHOW, SWATOW, HONGKONG, CANTON, and MACAO.

WYNDHAM STREET, 6m (Formerly occupied by Athletic Club.) [513]

## Notices of Firms.

### NOTICE.

M. JAIKARLU LUCCOMSEY is

authorised to sign in my name per pro-

curvate, in absence of Mr. ALLABATHABH

DEVIRE.

BERAHIMBOY PABANEE,

53 1297 Hongkong, 11th August, 1873.

### NOTICE.

The interest and responsibility of Mr. L.

Hauschild, in our house, ceased from

this date.

W. M. HALE, Co.

1m 1236 Saigon, 23rd July, 1873.

### NOTICE.

M. R. FITZ EDMUND REILLY is auth-

orized to sign our Firm per procur-

ation.

THOMPSON & Co.

1m 1172 Fowhong, 9th July, 1873.

### NOTICE.

On Current deposit accounts at the rate of 1

per cent. payable on the daily balance.

On Fixed Deposits—

For 3 months 2 per cent. per annum.

6 " 4 "

12 " 5 "

### LOCAL BILLS DISCOUNTED.

Credits—granted on approved securities, and every description of Banking and Exchange business transacted.

Drafts—on London, and the chief

commercial places of Europe, India, Australia, America, China and Japan.

JAMES GRIFFIN, Chief Manager.

Office of the Corporation—

No. 1, Queen's Road East.

10,000. 1st April, 1873.

### CLEARANCES.

AT THE HARBOUR MASTER'S OFFICE,

AUGUST 13TH.

Chinkiang, str., for Shanghai.

North, str., for Swatow.

MacGregor, str., for Yokohama and San Francisco.

Prince Eugene, for San Francisco.

Johann Smith, for Newchwang.

Mary, str., for Chefoo.

### PASSPORTS.

For Alonso, str., from Saigon—

For Glendrooch, str., from Saigon—

57 Chinese.

For Norma, str., for Swatow—

150 Chinese.

For Chinkiang, str., for Shanghai—

1 Cabin and 65 Chinese.

For Chinkiang, str., for Shanghai—

Capt. Thebaud and 57 Chinese.

### Reports.

The British steamship *Aura* reports left

Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendrooch* reports left Saigon on 8th August, had light Southerly winds and fine weather. On the 11th instant, at 11 a.m., passed a back rigged steamer, bound South, name unknown.

The British bark *Glendro*



them 30 miles, and then left them at an inn, going to. Both those classes of testimonials were admitted of larceny. In deciding when what was the defendant's intention when he took this money, the jury would have less difficulty than we found in the case he had quoted, because in that the intention had to be inferred from overt acts, while in this it was a contemporaneous statement of intention and a distinct offer to repay. The defendant more particularly described the subject of his statement to the Government and awaited their decision on the subject, and as to that he might mention that never from that day to this had the defendant got an answer to his letter. He thought the one he had just quoted showed that it was possible for a man to take another man's property, without his consent and without his knowledge, and yet without a fraudulent intent to deprive him permanently of the property. The defendant had no such claim as this brought to him. The learned counsel now went on to review the letter, which had passed between Mr. Wade and the defendant. They were not very material, he said, but he was unwilling to pass over any topic that might be in the least favourable to the defendant. In these when Mr. Wade asked for an explanation, defendant did not simply admit the account he had forwarded to the Foreign Office. He admitted he was not a member of his party. His friends had compelled the jury about securing documents which purported to be drafts, because they might be inaccurate; but though he had nothing to say on Mr. Caine's, he was certain that all material facts were to be found in them. He more particularly wished to notice Mr. Wade's—against whom, however, did not desire to say word. Mr. Wade showed the defendant some considerations; and though he did not say what they were, he did say that he "had no such claim as this brought to him."—accepted the responsibility of leaving defendant in his then position till he should hear from the Foreign Office. The inference was that Mr. Wade would not leave in the responsible position of Consul anyone whom he deemed guilty of criminal offence, and he no doubt also thought that the term defendant had proposed in his communication to the Foreign Office was sufficient protection to the defendant. Defendant concluded what he had done was done for him, and on 8th June Mr. Wade wrote that upon further consideration he deemed it his duty to suspend Mr. Caine, but would continue his salary, and on 8th September he had received instructions to suspend him altogether.—Now it was not incensed on the part of the Government, knowing as they did so well all the facts, not to warn defendant so that he could have raised the money? For the Government would have been perfectly justified in suspending him, and in doing so the feelings, whether they left the defendant in ignorance of what they did, and by so doing, practically speaking, they had no right to make anything but a civil demand. He thought it was most unfair, most harsh toward the defendant, that they should enter a criminal prosecution after so long a time. The letters he had been noticing were only material to prove one fact, that throughout there was not the slightest evidence of any criminal intent on the part of the defendant, and that his conduct was as far from being that of a guilty man. He told what he had done, asked upon various grounds whether his superiors would approve it, and said if they did not, he would do the best he could. He informed H.M. Minister where he was going, so that he could be communicated with. On 22nd October Mr. Wade said he had not heard from the Foreign Office about it; on 9th November they sent down here a circular which, though it said nothing about him, he could not help but feel that it was concerned with a grossly irresponsible action on the part of the Government when they might have done it here. He hoped the Jury would excuse his taking up their time in speaking upon a matter which he deemed bore to a certain extent collateral on the main issue. The Government made no objection to Mr. Caine leaving at once, as they should have done, if they disapproved. But the main ground for the prison's defence was the absence of any criminal intent on the part of the defendant at the time the money was taken. He did not seek to justify in any way the irregularity of the mode in which it was taken, but the Jury should bear in mind that defendant paid a heavy penalty for that irregularity in the loss of his pension. He might also remain incidentally, that in depriving the defendant of his pension for this misconduct, the Government's pecuniary sense was a far greater loss to him than the sum to which his services entitled him. But while attempting to justify the irregularity, he submitted most earnestly to the Jury that defendant had the honest intention to return the money, that he had the means whereby attainable to return it; and if they could believe that they must feel bound to acquit him. They might, however, take a different view, and if they did so, he begged to be allowed to suggest to the Jury that the conduct of defendant throughout displayed an entire absence of criminal intent, and complete openness in everything he did.

COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE.  
13TH AUGUST, EVENING.  
New Patna, \$580 to \$582, steady. New Bawares, \$580 to \$582, weak. No change in other kinds.  
EXCHANGE.  
On LONDON—  
Bank Bills, on demand.....4/3  
Bank Bills, at 8 months' sight.....4/4  
Bank Bills, at 6 months' sight.....4/4  
Creditors, at 6 months' sight.....4/4  
Documentary Bills, at 6 months' sight.....4/4  
On NEW YORK—  
Private, 5 months' sight.....—  
On BOMBAY—Bank, 3 days' sight 200  
On CALCUTTA—Bank, 3 days' sight 220  
On SHANGHAI—  
Bank, 3 days' sight.....74  
Private, 30 days' sight.....744  
SHARES.  
Hongkong and Shanghai Bank Shares—59 per cent. premium.  
Union Insurance Society of Canton, new shares—59 per cent. premium.  
China and Canton Insurance Company's Shares—1050 per cent. premium.  
China and Japan Marine Insurance—The 116 per share.  
Chinese Insurance Company—\$218 per share.  
Hongkong Fire Insurance Company's Shares—\$40 per share.  
China Fire Insurance Company's Shares—\$128 per share.  
Victoria Fire Insurance Company's Shares—\$122 per share.  
Hongkong and Whampoa Dock Company's Shares—18 per cent. discount.  
Canton and Macao Steamboat Co.'s Shares—34 per cent. premium.  
Shanghai Steam Navigation Company—The 125 per share.  
Hongkong Gas Company's Shares—\$65 per share.  
Hongkong Hotel Company's Shares—50 per cent. discount.  
Indo-Chinese Sugar Company—85 per cent. discount.  
Hongkong Pier and Godown Company—95 per cent. discount.  
SALES ON AUGUST 13TH, 1873.  
As reported by Chinese.  
White Sugar, 100 bags, at \$6.75, by Fock-wo to travelling trader.  
White Wax, 100 pieces, at \$71.00, by Foot-wo to travelling trader.  
Fusius, 20 bags, at \$40.00, by Kwong-fook-wo to travelling trader.  
Dried Lily Flowers, 15 bags, at \$14.00, by Kwong-fook-wo to travelling trader.  
Vermicelli, 100 bags, at \$6.60, by Kwong-fook-wo to travelling trader.  
Cuttlefish, 15 packages at \$10.00, by Kwong-fook-wo to travelling trader.  
Saigon Rice, 1,000 pieces, at \$1.84, by Foot-wo to local trader.  
Mussel, 200 bags, at \$3.55, by Hop-wo to local trader.  
Mushrooms, 25 cases, at \$38.00, by Yeo-wu-wo to travelling trader.  
Vermicelli, 30 bags, at \$6.60, by Chon-chong to travelling trader.  
Small Dried Mussels, 100 bags, at \$7.30, by Mo-tye to travelling trader.  
White Wax, 1 piece, at \$71.00, by Kwong-fook-wo to travelling trader.  
The Sacks, 100 pieces, at \$35.00, by Fock-wo to local trader.  
NOW READY.  
BOUND VOLUMES of the TRADE REPORT FOR THE YEAR 1872. Price \$10.  
Apply at the Daily Press Office, Hongkong, 1st February, 1873.  
A SECTION WANTED.

THE COMPETITION OF WOMAN IN PHYSICAL WORK.  
Mrs. Fawcett and Mr. Fawcett, on the one hand, and Mr. Mundell and Sir John Lubbock on the other, are completely at issue on a very important point, whether or not women need any special training against overwork which is not also to be certain against overwork which native women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would be entirely contrary to Mrs. Fawcett's principle, which is that women ought to have all the same opportunities of labour that men have. She thinks that if we limit the hours of women's labour, then we limit it in all the hours of their labour, and that if we limit the labour of women in precisely the same way as they limited the labour of all younger than 18. Nay, even the Act restricting the labour of women in coal-mines would

